IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 17385 of 2004

Between:

Kum. Radha Pradhano D/o. Ullo Pradhano
R/o. Mandala Village, & Post, kanchili Mandal, Srikakulam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Joint Collector, (CS), Srikakulam, Srikakulam District.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Tekkali, Srikakulam District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Kanchili, Srikakulam District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to Issue writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the Respondents to continue the petitioner as a Fair Price Shop Dealer of Mundala Village, Kanchili Mandal at Srikakulam District.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.K.S.N.MURTHY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court at the stage of admission made the following Order:

This writ petition is filed aggrieved by the action of the respondents in not releasing the stocks for distribution to the card-holders.

The contention of the petitioner is that since she is holding a valid authorisation, the respondents are not justified in with-holding the stocks for distribution to the card-holders.

This Court, while directing notice to the respondents by order dated 28-09-2004, directed the respondents to release the stocks to the petitioner for distribution to the card-holders, if she is holding a valid authorisation and the same is subsisting as on that date.

However, learned Government Pleader, under instructions, states that the petitioner was issued a show-cause notice on 14-09-2004 calling upon her to show-cause why the authorisation should not be cancelled and thereafter, the second respondent-Revenue Divisional Officer, Tekkali, Srikakulam District, by order dated 23-09-2004 suspended the authorisation of the petitioner, pending enquiry.

In the circumstances, since the authorisation of the petitioner has already been suspended, I am of the view that nothing survives for adjudication in this writ petition.

Accordingly, granting leave and liberty to the petitioner to work out the appropriate remedy, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

01-11-2004

kdl

To

1 The Joint Collector, (CS), Srikakulam, Srikakulam District.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Tekkali, Srikakulam District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Kanchili, Srikakulam District.

4 Two C.Cs. to the Government Pleader for Civil Supplies, High Court Buildings, A.P., Hyderabad, (OUT).

4 Two C.D. Copies.